

DIRECTORATE OF DISTANCE EDUCATION  
NALSAR UNIVERSITY OF LAW, HYDERABAD

*Post-Graduate Diploma in Family Dispute Resolution (Batch 2020 – 2021)*

Take Home – Annual Examination (June, 2021)

**Paper II – 1.2. Law Governing Unfair Practices and  
Property Relations**

**TOTAL MARKS: 100**

**INSTRUCTIONS TO CANDIDATES**

- a) Read the instructions for Take Home Examination carefully and adhere to the same.
  - b) *Please mention your name, ID No., subject name and total number of pages on the Answer Sheet.*
  - c) *Clearly indicate the question numbers while answering them.*
  - d) *Answer all the four questions and each question carries 25 marks.*
  - e) *The approximate word limit for a 25 marks question is 800-1000, for a 15 marks question, 600-700, for a 10 marks question, 400-500 and for a 5 marks question 200-250*
  - f) *All the candidates are required to submit only word / Pdf files containing the typed answers.*
  - g) *All papers will be uploaded on Turn-it-in for plagiarism check. Any paper with more than 15% similarity will be considered to be plagiarized and shall not be evaluated.*
  - h) *Since this is a take home exam, we expect your answers to be analytical rather than straight answers.*
  - i) Copying from any source including from other students is strictly prohibited. Plagiarism is considered as a serious academic mis-conduct and the University will take action as it deems fit.
- 

1. Why is the Dowry Prohibition Act of 1961 considered to be inadequate and ineffective? Discuss the reasons and offer solutions in the fight against this age-old socio-economic problem.
2. *“The provisions of Section 498A are not a law to take revenge, seek recovery of dowry or to force a divorce but a penal provision to punish the wrongdoers. The victims (women) are often misguided into exaggerating the facts by adding those persons as accused who are unconnected with the harassment under a mistaken belief that by doing so they are making a strong case..”*. Critically analyse the above statement extracted from a Delhi Sessions Court judgment.
3. The latest decision of the Supreme Court on the right of Hindu daughters to ancestral property corrects an obvious anomaly in the interpretation of a crucial 2005 amendment to the Hindu Succession Act, 1956. Comment.

4. Write short notes on the following:-

**(5 x 5 = 25 Marks)**

- a. Gains of Learning
- b. Son Preference Syndrome
- c. Legal protection of aged persons
- d. Scheme of Inheritance under Christian law
- e. Protection of men from domestic violence